

<u>Compact</u>	<u>Subcompact</u>	<u>Midsized</u>	<u>Midsized SUVs</u>	<u>Large</u>	<u>Large entry-</u>	<u>Luxury</u>	<u>Luxury</u>	<u>Luxury SUVs</u>	<u>Electric</u>	<u>Electric SUVs</u>	<u>Electric luxury</u>
<u>SUVs</u>	<u>SUVs</u>	<u>SUVs</u>	<u>3-row</u>	<u>SUVs</u>	<u>level SUVs</u>	<u>Compact SUVs</u>	<u>midsized SUVs</u>	<u>3-row</u>	<u>SUVs</u>	<u>3-row</u>	<u>SUVs</u>

New SUVs Ratings & Reliability

(as of October 2025)

CR GREEN CHOICE

WORSE—————BETTER

Overall Score	Make & Model	Ratings & Test Results				Highs	Lows
		Road Test Score	CR's Overall Mileage	Predicted Reliability	Predicted Owner Satisfaction		
94	2025 Subaru Forester Hybrid ✓ CR RECOMMENDED	92	34 mpg	⬆	⬆	Excellent fuel economy, comfortable ride, roomy rear seat, unobstructed visibility, simple controls.	Long stopping distances.
93	2025 Subaru Forester ✓ CR RECOMMENDED	92	29 mpg	⬆	⬆	Comfortable ride, excellent fuel economy, short stopping distances, airy outward views, roomy rear seat.	Feels underpowered.
88	2025 Toyota RAV4 Plug-in Hybrid ⬆	88	34 mpg	⬆	⬆	Acceleration, fuel economy, part-time electric driving, ride, controls.	Long stopping distance, high pitch warning signal in Reverse.
83	2025 Hyundai Tucson Plug-in Hybrid ⬆	93	31 mpg	⬆	⬆	Can drive on electric power part-time, comfortable ride, responsive handling, roomy interior.	Battery-depleted fuel economy is worse than the regular Tucson Hybrid, unintuitive gear selector.
83	2025 Honda CR-V Hybrid ✓ CR RECOMMENDED	93	35 mpg	⬆	⬆	Fuel economy, hybrid powertrain, user-friendly controls, generous interior room, easy to get in and out	Noisy cabin.
82	2025 Hyundai Tucson Hybrid ✓ CR RECOMMENDED	94	35 mpg	⬆	⬆	Fuel economy, handling, braking, ride, roomy interior, controls.	Unintuitive gear selector.
81	2025 Toyota RAV4 Hybrid ✓ CR RECOMMENDED	80	37 mpg	⬆	⬆	Fuel economy.	Ride, fit and finish.
80	2025 Kia Sportage Plug-in Hybrid ⬆	92	31 mpg	⬆	⬆	Can drive on electric power part-time, comfortable ride, responsive handling, roomy interior.	Battery-depleted fuel economy is worse than the regular Sportage Hybrid, confusing climate/audio controls.
79	2025 Mazda CX-50 Hybrid ✓ CR RECOMMENDED	90	37 mpg	⬆	⬆	Excellent fuel economy, long cruising range, can drive on electric power at low speeds, agile handling, nicely finished interior.	Unintuitive infotainment system.
79	2025 Honda CR-V ✓ CR RECOMMENDED	88	26 mpg	⬆	⬆	Generous interior room, braking, simple controls, easy to get in and out of.	Slightly underpowered.
78	2025 Mazda CX-5 ✓ CR RECOMMENDED	77	24 mpg	⬆	⬆	Rides comfortably, quiet cabin, responsive handling, nicer interior than the class norm.	So-so visibility to the rear corners, infotainment learning curve, fuel economy not a standout.
76	2025 Toyota RAV4 ✓ CR RECOMMENDED	73	27 mpg	⬆	⬆	Fuel economy.	Engine noise, ride, fit and finish.
76	2025 Hyundai Tucson ✓ CR RECOMMENDED	84	26 mpg	⬆	⬆	Handling, ride, braking, roomy interior, controls, Hybrid's performance and fuel economy.	Leisurely acceleration (non hybrid), unintuitive gear selector.
75	2025 Nissan Rogue ✓ CR RECOMMENDED	81	25 mpg	⬆	⬆	Access, controls, agility.	Annoying idle vibration, low dash air vents.
75	2025 Kia Sportage ✓ CR RECOMMENDED	77	25 mpg	⬆	⬆	Fuel economy (Hybrid), composed ride, roomy interior, easy to get in and out of.	Lackluster acceleration (Regular model, agility (Hybrid), braking (Hybrid), confusing climate/audio controls.
74	2025 Kia Sportage Hybrid ✓ CR RECOMMENDED	85	36 mpg	⬆	⬆	Fuel economy, eomposed ride, roomy interior, easy to get in and out of.	Agility, braking, confusing climate/audio controls.
73	2025 Buick Envision ✓ CR RECOMMENDED	77	23 mpg	⬆	⬆	Ride, quietness, braking, infotainmental system.	Gear selector, low dash vents, front wheelspin.
73	2025 Chevrolet Equinox ✓ CR RECOMMENDED	77	25 mpg	⬆	⬆	Short stopping distances and a confidence-inspiring brake pedal feel, lots of features for the price.	Some unintuitive controls.
71	2025 Ford Escape Plug-in Hybrid ✓ CR RECOMMENDED	87	37 mpg	⬆	⬆	Handling agility, smooth transmission, very fuel-efficient, decent electric-only range.	Limited front seat adjustments, no available all-wheel drive.
70	2025 Ford Escape ✓ CR RECOMMENDED	71	26 mpg	⬆	⬆	Agility, stopping distance, fuel economy with hybrid.	No auto up windows on Active or St-Line trims.
69	2025 Mitsubishi Outlander Plug-in Hybrid ⬆	79	25 mpg	⬆	⬆	Can drive on electric power part-time, standard third-row seat, user-friendly controls.	Stiff ride, darty steering, fuel economy in hybrid mode isn't any better than the regular Outlander.
68	2025 Mazda CX-50 ✓ CR RECOMMENDED	78	24 mpg	⬆	⬆	Handling, steering, fit and finish, interior room.	Ride, modest acceleration with the base engine, frustrating infotainment system.
68	2025 GMC Terrain ✓ CR RECOMMENDED	71	24 mpg	⬆	⬆	Comfortable ride, upscale cabin for the price.	Some unintuitive controls, fuel economy lags behind competitors.
63	2025 Mitsubishi Outlander	71	25 mpg	⬆	⬆	Standard third row, straightforward controls, there is a plug-in hybrid version	Stiff ride, darty steering, wind noise at highway speeds, leisurely acceleration.
55	2025 Dodge Hornet Plug-in Hybrid	70	29 mpg	⬆	⬆	Can drive on electric power part-time, fuel-efficient when running as a hybrid, quick acceleration.	Stiff ride, loud cabin, unintuitive controls, awkward driving position, cheap interior, hampered visibility
54	2025 Ford Escape Hybrid	82	34 mpg	⬆	⬆	Agility, stopping distance, fuel economy.	No auto up windows on ST-Line trim.
49	2025 Jeep Compass	59	25 mpg	⬆	⬆	User-friendly controls.	Stiff ride, raspy-sounding engine, short on handling agility, mediocre stopping distances and brake-pedal feel, awkward driving position, compromised rear visibility.
48	2025 Dodge Hornet	59	23 mpg	⬆	⬆	Quick acceleration.	Stiff ride, loud cabin, unintuitive controls, awkward driving position, cheap interior, hampered visibility
CR TAKE	2026 Mazda CX-5	NA	NA	⬆	⬆		
CR TAKE	2026 Toyota Rav4	NA	NA	⬆	⬆		
CR TAKE	2026 Toyota RAV4 Hybrid	NA	NA	⬆	⬆		
CR TAKE	2026 Toyota RAV4 Plug-in Hybrid	NA	NA	⬆	⬆		
IN TEST	2025 Volkswagen Tiguan	NA	NA	⬆	⬆		

HOW WE TEST: These charts provide a reference guide to the vehicles we've recently tested so that you can see how they match up. Organized by category and ranked by Overall Score.

CR Recommended vehicles are those that meet our stringent testing, reliability, and safety standards. To earn our recommendation, noted by , vehicles must have a high Overall Score in their category. The **Green Choice** designation, developed in collaboration with the Environmental Protection Agency, indicates which 2025 models are in the top 20 percent of the cleanest-emitting vehicles from their model year. These are identified by . **Overall Score**

includes the vehicle's performance in our road tests, results from the reliability and owner satisfaction sections of CR's Auto Surveys, the availability of crash-prevention systems (automatic emergency braking with pedestrian detection and at highway speeds, blind spot warning, rear cross traffic warning, and driver monitoring), and, if available, crash-test results from the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration and the Insurance Institute for Highway Safety. SUVs are tested with all- or four-wheel drive except where noted. **Price** reflects the sticker price at the time we bought the vehicle tested. **Survey results** reflect findings from CR's Auto

Surveys, which are completed by CR members. **Predicted reliability** is our forecast of how well a new model will hold up based on problems our members reported in CR's Auto Surveys, which cover over 300,000 vehicles. **Owner satisfaction** is based on the percentage of surveyed CR members who said they would definitely buy or lease their vehicle again. To determine our reliability and owner satisfaction predictions, we use a model's last three years of data, provided the vehicle hasn't been redesigned or significantly updated. In cases where we have insufficient survey responses or when a model is all-new or redesigned, we use our judgment based on the brand's track

record and similar models. **Road-test score** is based on results from more than 50 objective and subjective tests and evaluations performed at our 327-acre Auto Test Center in Connecticut. **Overall mpg** comes from our fuel-economy tests. For plug-in hybrid electric vehicles, mpg is measured when the electric-only range is depleted. **CR range** is the distance in miles covered by a full tank of gas plus the EPA electric range for PHEVs. For EVs, CR range is the distance traveled in miles during our 70-mph highway test or from the EPA if not tested by CR. "E" denotes EPA-range figures. **Highs and lows** give a summary of a model's notable strengths and weaknesses.

New SUVs Ratings & Reliability

(as of October 2025)



Overall Score	Make & Model	Ratings & Test Results				Highs	Lows
		Road Test Score	CR's Overall Mileage	Predicted Reliability	Predicted Owner Satisfaction		
80	2025 Subaru Crosstrek ✓ CR RECOMMENDED	82	29 mpg	⬆	⬆	Comfortable ride, strong fuel economy, short stopping distances, standard all-wheel drive, airy outward visibility.	Standard engine feels underpowered and sounds strained.
75	2025 Toyota Corolla Cross Hybrid ✓ CR RECOMMENDED	82	41 mpg	⬆	⬇	Fantastic fuel economy, short braking distances, standard all-wheel drive.	Loud cabin, cheap interior.
70	2025 Honda HR-V ✓ CR RECOMMENDED	70	27 mpg	⬆	⬇	Straightforward controls, strong fuel economy, roomy interior for its size.	Stiff ride, noisy cabin, slow acceleration.
68	2025 Chevrolet Trailblazer ✓ CR RECOMMENDED	68	27 mpg	⬆	⬇	Interior room, mid-range torque, fuel economy, controls.	Rear and side visibility, agility, front-seat comfort.
67	2025 Mazda CX-30 ✓ CR RECOMMENDED	65	27 mpg	⬆	⬇	Fit and finish, fuel economy, handling agility.	Controls, engine noise, tight quarters, visibility.
66	2025 Toyota Corolla Cross ✓ CR RECOMMENDED	68	28 mpg	⬆	⬇	Fuel economy, braking, cabin access.	Noisy, feels underpowered, lacks agility, mediocre fit and finish.
65	2025 Hyundai Kona ✓ CR RECOMMENDED	72	26 mpg	⬇	⬇	Easy to get in and out of, short braking distances, user-friendly controls.	Loud and buzzy engine, tepid acceleration with the standard engine.
64	2025 Kia Seltos ✓ CR RECOMMENDED	73	28 mpg	⬇	⬇	Fuel economy, braking, controls, visibility.	Ride, noise.
63	2025 Ford Bronco Sport ✓ CR RECOMMENDED	71	25 mpg	⬇	⬇	Agility, braking, user-friendly controls, character.	Engine vibration at low revs, limited driver's seat adjustments, ride.
61	2025 Buick Encore GX	68	26 mpg	⬇	⬇	Strong mid-range acceleration, braking.	Engine noise and vibration, rear seat.
60	2025 Nissan Kicks	64	27 mpg	⬇	⬇	User-friendly controls, short stopping distances, easy to maneuver into tight parking spots, secure handling.	Harsh ride, noisy cabin, slow acceleration.
56	2025 Mitsubishi Eclipse Cross	61	24 mpg	⬇	⬇	Access, rear seat room.	Agility, visibility, front-seat comfort, acceleration, cargo space.
53	2025 Volkswagen Taos	80	26 mpg	⬇	⬇	Rear-seat room, visibility, agility, braking.	Touch-capacitive climate control.
CR TAKE	2026 Subaru Crosstrek Hybrid	NA	NA	⬆	⬆		
CR TAKE	2025 Mitsubishi Outlander Sport	NA	NA	⬇	⬇		

HOW WE TEST: These charts provide a reference guide to the vehicles we've recently tested so that you can see how they match up. Organized by category and ranked by Overall Score.

CR Recommended vehicles are those that meet our stringent testing, reliability, and safety standards. To earn our recommendation, noted by ✓, vehicles must have a high Overall Score in their category. The **Green Choice** designation, developed in collaboration with the Environmental Protection Agency, indicates which 2025 models are in the top 20 percent of the cleanest-emitting vehicles from their model year. These are identified by ♻️. **Overall Score**

includes the vehicle's performance in our road tests, results from the reliability and owner satisfaction sections of CR's Auto Surveys, the availability of crash-prevention systems (automatic emergency braking with pedestrian detection and at highway speeds, blind spot warning, rear cross traffic warning, and driver monitoring), and, if available, crash-test results from the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration and the Insurance Institute for Highway Safety. SUVs are tested with all- or four-wheel drive except where noted. **Price** reflects the sticker price at the time we bought the vehicle tested. **Survey results** reflect findings from CR's Auto

Surveys, which are completed by CR members. **Predicted reliability** is our forecast of how well a new model will hold up based on problems our members reported in CR's Auto Surveys, which cover over 300,000 vehicles. **Owner satisfaction** is based on the percentage of surveyed CR members who said they would definitely buy or lease their vehicle again. To determine our reliability and owner satisfaction predictions, we use a model's last three years of data, provided the vehicle hasn't been redesigned or significantly updated. In cases where we have insufficient survey responses or when a model is all-new or redesigned, we use our judgment based on the brand's track

record and similar models. **Road-test score** is based on results from more than 50 objective and subjective tests and evaluations performed at our 327-acre Auto Test Center in Connecticut. **Overall mpg** comes from our fuel-economy tests. For plug-in hybrid electric vehicles, mpg is measured when the electric-only range is depleted. **CR range** is the distance in miles covered by a full tank of gas plus the EPA electric range for PHEVs. For EVs, CR range is the distance traveled in miles during our 70-mph highway test or from the EPA if not tested by CR. "E" denotes EPA-range figures. **Highs and lows** give a summary of a model's notable strengths and weaknesses.

New SUVs Ratings & Reliability
(as of October 2025)



Overall Score	Make & Model	Ratings & Test Results				Highs	Lows
		Road Test Score	CR's Overall Mileage	Predicted Reliability	Predicted Owner Satisfaction		
85	2025 Toyota Crown Signia ✓ CR RECOMMENDED	88	37 mpg	⬆	⬆	Excellent fuel economy, long cruising range, can drive on electric power at low speeds.	Loud engine when accelerating, some unintuitive controls.
85	2025 Subaru Outback ✓ CR RECOMMENDED	89	24 mpg	⬆	⬇	Ride, transmission, seat comfort, driving position, integrated cross bars, visibility.	Some controls, slow responding infotainment screen.
73	2026 Honda Passport ✓ CR RECOMMENDED	81	21 mpg	⬇	⬇	Smooth V6 engine, short stopping distances, clear and easy-to-use controls, roomy rear seat, generous cargo area.	Lackluster handling agility, inconsistent transmission performance.
72	2025 Honda Passport ✓ CR RECOMMENDED	76	21 mpg	⬇	⬇	Acceleration, quietness, interior room.	Ride, lackluster handling.
62	2025 Mazda CX-70	83	24 mpg	⬇	⬇	Quick acceleration, agile handling, sharp steering, short dry stopping distance.	Some quirky controls, unintuitive gear selector, stiff ride with high-end trims' 21-inch tires, largely the same vehicle as the CX-90 minus a row of seating and with a higher base price.
61	2025 Toyota Land Cruiser	57	20 mpg	⬆	⬆	Super-capable off-road.	Clumsy handling, jiggly ride, excessive wind noise, unrefined engine and transmission.
61	2025 Nissan Murano	68	21 mpg	⬇	⬇	Comfortable front seats, short stopping distances.	Rough-shifting transmission, uneven power delivery, stiff ride, unintuitive controls.
59	2025 Chevrolet Blazer	78	19 mpg	⬇	⬇	Acceleration, braking, transmission, quietness, controls.	Visibility, low dash vents.
52	2025 Jeep Grand Cherokee	73	20 mpg	⬇	⬇	Smooth powertrain, quietness, roomy cabin.	Long braking distances, some oddly designed controls.
50	2025 Mazda CX-70 Plug-in Hybrid	79	23 mpg	⬇	⬇	Can drive on electric power part time, handling agility.	Stiff ride, unintuitive controls, refinement falls short, fuel economy no better than standard six-cylinder, poor adaptive cruise control performance.
49	2025 Volkswagen Atlas Cross Sport	71	20 mpg	⬇	⬇	Well-finished cabin, generous cargo capacity, short stopping distances.	Confusing controls, turbo-four fuel mileage isn't better than previous V6, reliability.
48	2025 Ford Bronco	51	18 mpg	⬇	⬇	Off-road ability, acceleration, character.	Braking, fuel economy, wind noise.
45	2025 Jeep Grand Cherokee Plug-in Hybrid	75	21 mpg	⬇	⬇	Can drive on electric power part time, quiet acceleration, quiet cabin.	Long stopping distances, fuel economy isn't much better than the standard V6, some oddly designed controls.
26	2025 Jeep Wrangler	34	18 mpg	⬇	⬇	Off-road ability, strong V6 powertrain.	Stiff ride, lots of wind noise, awkward access, fuel economy.
CR TAKE	2026 Jeep Cherokee	NA	NA	NA	NA		
CR TAKE	2026 Subaru Outback	NA	NA	⬇	⬇		
IN TEST	2025 Toyota 4Runner	NA	NA	⬆	⬆		

HOW WE TEST: These charts provide a reference guide to the vehicles we've recently tested so that you can see how they match up. Organized by category and ranked by Overall Score.

CR Recommended vehicles are those that meet our stringent testing, reliability, and safety standards. To earn our recommendation, noted by , vehicles must have a high Overall Score in their category. The **Green Choice** designation, developed in collaboration with the Environmental Protection Agency, indicates which 2025 models are in the top 20 percent of the cleanest-emitting vehicles from their model year. These are identified by . **Overall Score**

includes the vehicle's performance in our road tests, results from the reliability and owner satisfaction sections of CR's Auto Surveys, the availability of crash-prevention systems (automatic emergency braking with pedestrian detection and at highway speeds, blind spot warning, rear cross traffic warning, and driver monitoring), and, if available, crash-test results from the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration and the Insurance Institute for Highway Safety. SUVs are tested with all- or four-wheel drive except where noted. **Price** reflects the sticker price at the time we bought the vehicle tested. **Survey results** reflect findings from CR's Auto

Surveys, which are completed by CR members. **Predicted reliability** is our forecast of how well a new model will hold up based on problems our members reported in CR's Auto Surveys, which cover over 300,000 vehicles. **Owner satisfaction** is based on the percentage of surveyed CR members who said they would definitely buy or lease their vehicle again. To determine our reliability and owner satisfaction predictions, we use a model's last three years of data, provided the vehicle hasn't been redesigned or significantly updated. In cases where we have insufficient survey responses or when a model is all-new or redesigned, we use our judgment based on the brand's track

record and similar models. **Road-test score** is based on results from more than 50 objective and subjective tests and evaluations performed at our 327-acre Auto Test Center in Connecticut. **Overall mpg** comes from our fuel-economy tests. For plug-in hybrid electric vehicles, mpg is measured when the electric-only range is depleted. **CR range** is the distance in miles covered by a full tank of gas plus the EPA electric range for PHEVs. For EVs, CR range is the distance traveled in miles during our 70-mph highway test or from the EPA if not tested by CR. "E" denotes EPA-range figures. **Highs and lows** give a summary of a model's notable strengths and weaknesses.

New SUVs Ratings & Reliability

(as of October 2025)

 CR GREEN CHOICE



WORSE ← → BETTER

Overall Score	Make & Model	Ratings & Test Results				Highs	Lows
		Road Test Score	CR's Overall Mileage	Predicted Reliability	Predicted Owner Satisfaction		
81	2025 Toyota Highlander Hybrid ✓ CR RECOMMENDED	86	35 mpg	▲	▲	Excellent fuel economy, comfortable ride, easy to get in and out of, roomy second-row seat.	Third-row seat is tight compared to peers.
81	2025 Hyundai Santa Fe Hybrid ✓ CR RECOMMENDED	94	34 mpg	●	▲	Excellent fuel economy, comfortable ride, short stopping distances, roomy second-row seat, generous cargo area.	Tight third-row seat, unintuitive gear selector.
80	2025 Subaru Ascent ✓ CR RECOMMENDED	90	22 mpg	●	●	Ride, quietness, interior room, visibility, braking, controls.	Agility.
80	2025 Kia Sorento Hybrid ✓ CR RECOMMENDED	84	28 mpg	▲	●	Ride, fuel economy, standard lane centering assistance and adaptive cruise control.	Hesitation off the line, annoying split-use audio/climate control panel.
79	2025 Hyundai Palisade ✓ CR RECOMMENDED	85	21 mpg	●	▲	Powertrain, interior room, access, quiet cabin.	Agility, gear selector.
79	2025 Honda Pilot ✓ CR RECOMMENDED	85	21 mpg	●	●	Smooth powertrain, comfortable ride, short braking distances, roomy interior, adult-sized third row, user-friendly controls.	Short on handling agility.
77	2025 Toyota Highlander ✓ CR RECOMMENDED	82	22 mpg	▲	●	Comfortable ride, easy to get in and out of, roomy second-row seat.	New turbo four-cylinder engine isn't as refined as the old V6, redesigned infotainment system is less user-friendly, third-row seat is tight compared to its peers.
76	2025 Kia Telluride ✓ CR RECOMMENDED	92	21 mpg	●	▲	Ride, quietness, braking, powertrain, interior room, well-equipped for price.	Agility.
75	2025 Toyota Grand Highlander Hybrid ✓ CR RECOMMENDED	89	35 mpg	●	▲	Excellent fuel economy, comfortable ride, easy to get in and out of, lots of passenger and cargo room.	Short on handling agility, some infotainment features are a little confusing.
74	2025 Chevrolet Traverse ✓ CR RECOMMENDED	76	20 mpg	●	●	Comfortable ride, roomy second-row seat, generous cargo capacity, GM's excellent Super Cruise active driving assistance system is available.	Noisy engine, some unintuitive controls.
74	2025 Buick Enclave ✓ CR RECOMMENDED	75	20 mpg	●	●	Comfortable ride, roomy second-row seat, generous cargo capacity, GM's excellent Super Cruise active driving assistance system is available.	Noisy engine, some unintuitive controls.
73	2025 Hyundai Santa Fe ✓ CR RECOMMENDED	82	24 mpg	●	▲	Quick acceleration, comfortable ride, user-friendly controls, roomy second-row seat, generous cargo area.	Uneven power delivery, tight third-row seat, unintuitive gear selector.
70	2025 GMC Acadia ✓ CR RECOMMENDED	77	20 mpg	●	●	Comfortable ride, roomy second-row seat, generous cargo capacity.	Noisy engines, some intuitive controls.
68	2025 Toyota Grand Highlander ✓ CR RECOMMENDED	81	22 mpg	●	●	Comfortable ride, lots of passenger and cargo room.	Short on handling agility, noisy engine.
68	2025 Kia Sorento ✓ CR RECOMMENDED	80	25 mpg	●	▼	Acceleration with the turbo four-cylinder, ride and fuel economy with the hybrid version, standard lane centering assistance and adaptive cruise control.	Ride with the turbo version, turbo engine's dual-clutch transmission, annoying split-use audio/climate control panel.
68	2025 Ford Explorer ✓ CR RECOMMENDED	74	21 mpg	●	●	Agility, controls, interior room, BlueCruise.	Ride, low-speed transmission shifts.
65	2025 Nissan Pathfinder	81	21 mpg	●	●	Powertrain, interior room, controls.	Overly firm ride.
64	2025 Mazda CX-90	85	24 mpg	●	●	Quick acceleration, agile handling, sharp steering, short dry stopping distance.	Some quirky controls, unintuitive gear selector, stiff ride with the large 21-inch wheels included on higher trims, poor adaptive cruise control performance.
63	2025 Dodge Durango	78	18 mpg	●	▲	Comfortable riding, quiet cabin, relatively nimble handling, supportive seats, towing capacity is higher than most competitors.	Fuel economy with either V6 or V8 engines, rear visibility is so-so.
53	2025 Volkswagen Atlas	78	20 mpg	●	●	Off-road ability, trong V6 powertrain.	Stiff ride, lots of wind noise, awkward access, fuel economy.
51	2025 Mazda CX-90 Plug-in Hybrid	81	23 mpg	▼	▼	Can drive on electric power part time, handling agility.	Stiff ride, unintuitive controls, refinement falls short, fuel economy no better than standard six-cylinder, poor adaptive cruise control performance.
50	2025 Jeep Grand Cherokee L	71	19 mpg	●	●	Transmission, interior space, roomy third row, towing capacity.	Acceleration, braking, noisy V6 engine, controls.
IN TEST	2026 Hyundai Palisade	NA	NA	●	▲		

HOW WE TEST: These charts provide a reference guide to the vehicles we've recently tested so that you can see how they match up. Organized by category and ranked by Overall Score.

CR Recommended vehicles are those that meet our stringent testing, reliability, and safety standards. To earn our recommendation, noted by , vehicles must have a high Overall Score in their category. The **Green Choice** designation, developed in collaboration with the Environmental Protection Agency, indicates which 2025 models are in the top 20 percent of the cleanest-emitting vehicles from their model year. These are identified by . **Overall Score**

includes the vehicle's performance in our road tests, results from the reliability and owner satisfaction sections of CR's Auto Surveys, the availability of crash-prevention systems (automatic emergency braking with pedestrian detection and at highway speeds, blind spot warning, rear cross traffic warning, and driver monitoring), and, if available, crash-test results from the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration and the Insurance Institute for Highway Safety. SUVs are tested with all- or four-wheel drive except where noted.

Price reflects the sticker price at the time we bought the vehicle tested. **Survey results** reflect findings from CR's Auto

Surveys, which are completed by CR members. **Predicted reliability** is our forecast of how well a new model will hold up based on problems our members reported in CR's Auto Surveys, which cover over 300,000 vehicles. **Owner satisfaction** is based on the percentage of surveyed CR members who said they would definitely buy or lease their vehicle again. To determine our reliability and owner satisfaction predictions, we use a model's last three years of data, provided the vehicle hasn't been redesigned or significantly updated. In cases where we have insufficient survey responses or when a model is all-new or redesigned, we use our judgment based on the brand's track

record and similar models. **Road-test score** is based on results from more than 50 objective and subjective tests and evaluations performed at our 327-acre Auto Test Center in Connecticut. **Overall mpg** comes from our fuel-economy tests. For plug-in hybrid electric vehicles, mpg is measured when the electric-only range is depleted. **CR range** is the distance in miles covered by a full tank of gas plus the EPA electric range for PHEVs. For EVs, CR range is the distance traveled in miles during our 70-mph highway test or from the EPA if not tested by CR. "E" denotes EPA-range figures. **Highs and lows** give a summary of a model's notable strengths and weaknesses.

New SUVs Ratings & Reliability
(as of October 2025)



Overall Score	Make & Model	Ratings & Test Results				Highs	Lows
		Road Test Score	CR's Overall Mileage	Predicted Reliability	Predicted Owner Satisfaction		
59	2025 Toyota Sequoia	57	18 mpg	⬆	⬇	Robust powertrain, large towing capacity.	Clumsy handling, jostly ride, long stopping distances, no automatic 4WD system, tiny third-row seat.
57	2025 GMC Yukon XL	68	16 mpg	⬇	⬇	Interior room, seat comfort, ride, quietness.	Braking, agility, high step-in, tall hood hurts visibility, fuel economy.
54	2025 Jeep Wagoneer	75	18 mpg	⬇	⬇	Quick acceleration, smooth transmission, quietness, seat comfort, interior quality.	Braking performance.
53	2025 GMC Yukon	66	17 mpg	⬇	⬇	Ride, quietness, interior room, seat comfort.	Agility, high sep-in, tall hood cuts visibility.
53	2025 Chevrolet Tahoe	66	17 mpg	⬇	⬇	Ride, quietness, interior room, seat comfort.	Agility, high step-in, tall hood hurts visibility, fuel economy.
IN TEST	2025 Ford Expedition	NA	NA	⬇	⬇		
IN TEST	2025 Nissan Armada	NA	NA	⬇	⬇		

HOW WE TEST: These charts provide a reference guide to the vehicles we've recently tested so that you can see how they match up. Organized by category and ranked by Overall Score.

CR Recommended vehicles are those that meet our stringent testing, reliability, and safety standards. To earn our recommendation, noted by 🟢, vehicles must have a high Overall Score in their category. The **Green Choice** designation, developed in collaboration with the Environmental Protection Agency, indicates which 2025 models are in the top 20 percent of the cleanest-emitting vehicles from their model year. These are identified by 🌱. **Overall Score**

includes the vehicle's performance in our road tests, results from the reliability and owner satisfaction sections of CR's Auto Surveys, the availability of crash-prevention systems (automatic emergency braking with pedestrian detection and at highway speeds, blind spot warning, rear cross traffic warning, and driver monitoring), and, if available, crash-test results from the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration and the Insurance Institute for Highway Safety. SUVs are tested with all- or four-wheel drive except where noted.

Price reflects the sticker price at the time we bought the vehicle tested. **Survey results** reflect findings from CR's Auto

Surveys, which are completed by CR members. **Predicted reliability** is our forecast of how well a new model will hold up based on problems our members reported in CR's Auto Surveys, which cover over 300,000 vehicles. **Owner satisfaction** is based on the percentage of surveyed CR members who said they would definitely buy or lease their vehicle again. To determine our reliability and owner satisfaction predictions, we use a model's last three years of data, provided the vehicle hasn't been redesigned or significantly updated. In cases where we have insufficient survey responses or when a model is all-new or redesigned, we use our judgment based on the brand's track

record and similar models. **Road-test score** is based on results from more than 50 objective and subjective tests and evaluations performed at our 327-acre Auto Test Center in Connecticut. **Overall mpg** comes from our fuel-economy tests. For plug-in hybrid electric vehicles, mpg is measured when the electric-only range is depleted. **CR range** is the distance in miles covered by a full tank of gas plus the EPA electric range for PHEVs. For EVs, CR range is the distance traveled in miles during our 70-mph highway test or from the EPA if not tested by CR. "E" denotes EPA-range figures. **Highs and lows** give a summary of a model's notable strengths and weaknesses.

New SUVs Ratings & Reliability (as of October 2025)



Overall Score	Make & Model	Ratings & Test Results				Highs	Lows
		Road Test Score	CR's Overall Mileage	Predicted Reliability	Predicted Owner Satisfaction		
78	2025 Lexus UX 	75	37 mpg			Fuel economy.	Controls, tight interior, rear seat.
73	2025 Audi Q3 	82	23 mpg			Handling, braking, front seat comfort.	Loud engine, rear visibility, no heated steering wheel offered.
72	2025 BMW X1 	86	28 mpg			Nimble handling, short stopping distances, fuel economy, seat comfort, fit and finish.	Stiff ride, inconsistent power delivery, complicated controls.
71	2025 Mini Cooper Countryman 	76	28 mpg			Agile handling, short stopping distances, decent fuel economy, whimsical character.	Unintuitive controls, uneven power delivery.
66	2025 BMW X2 	80	29 mpg			Agile handling, short stopping distances, impressive fuel economy, comfortable front seats, high-quality cabin.	Uneven power delivery, stiff ride, confusing controls, hampered rear visibility.
56	2025 Volvo XC40	70	24 mpg			Interior ambience, comfortable front seats.	Stiff ride, controls, annoying gear selector, engine noise.
56	2025 Cadillac XT4	76	24 mpg			Handling, transmission, braking, fit and finish, front-seat comfort.	Engine noise, ride, visibility.
55	2025 Mercedes-Benz GLA	75	27 mpg			Acceleration, braking, fuel economy, fit and finish.	Controls, rear and side visibility.
55	2025 Alfa Romeo Tonale	71	29 mpg			Quick acceleration, can run as an EV part-time, fuel-efficient when running as a hybrid.	Stiff ride, loud cabin, unintuitive controls, lacks typical Alfa Romeo engine sounds and sharp handling.
IN TEST	2025 Acura ADX	NA	NA				

HOW WE TEST: These charts provide a reference guide to the vehicles we've recently tested so that you can see how they match up. Organized by category and ranked by Overall Score.

CR Recommended vehicles are those that meet our stringent testing, reliability, and safety standards. To earn our recommendation, noted by , vehicles must have a high Overall Score in their category. The **Green Choice** designation, developed in collaboration with the Environmental Protection Agency, indicates which 2025 models are in the top 20 percent of the cleanest-emitting vehicles from their model year. These are identified by . **Overall Score**

includes the vehicle's performance in our road tests, results from the reliability and owner satisfaction sections of CR's Auto Surveys, the availability of crash-prevention systems (automatic emergency braking with pedestrian detection and at highway speeds, blind spot warning, rear cross traffic warning, and driver monitoring), and, if available, crash-test results from the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration and the Insurance Institute for Highway Safety. SUVs are tested with all- or four-wheel drive except where noted. **Price** reflects the sticker price at the time we bought the vehicle tested. **Survey results** reflect findings from CR's Auto

Surveys, which are completed by CR members. **Predicted reliability** is our forecast of how well a new model will hold up based on problems our members reported in CR's Auto Surveys, which cover over 300,000 vehicles. **Owner satisfaction** is based on the percentage of surveyed CR members who said they would definitely buy or lease their vehicle again. To determine our reliability and owner satisfaction predictions, we use a model's last three years of data, provided the vehicle hasn't been redesigned or significantly updated. In cases where we have insufficient survey responses or when a model is all-new or redesigned, we use our judgment based on the brand's track

record and similar models. **Road-test score** is based on results from more than 50 objective and subjective tests and evaluations performed at our 327-acre Auto Test Center in Connecticut. **Overall mpg** comes from our fuel-economy tests. For plug-in hybrid electric vehicles, mpg is measured when the electric-only range is depleted. **CR range** is the distance in miles covered by a full tank of gas plus the EPA electric range for PHEVs. For EVs, CR range is the distance traveled in miles during our 70-mph highway test or from the EPA if not tested by CR. "E" denotes EPA-range figures. **Highs and lows** give a summary of a model's notable strengths and weaknesses.

New SUVs Ratings & Reliability

(as of October 2025)



Overall Score	Make & Model	Ratings & Test Results				Highs	Lows
		Road Test Score	CR's Overall Mileage	Predicted Reliability	Predicted Owner Satisfaction		
83	2025 Lexus NX Plug-in Hybrid  ✓ CR RECOMMENDED	90	35 mpg			Can drive on electric power part-time, great fuel economy, comfortable ride, high-quality cabin.	Noisy gas engine, some unintuitive controls, hampered rear visibility, touchy brake pedal.
83	2025 Lexus NX Hybrid ✓ CR RECOMMENDED	84	38 mpg			Fit and finish, fuel economy.	Annoying electronic door releases, visibility, unintuitive gear selector, long stops, touchy brake pedals.
80	2025 BMW X3 ✓ CR RECOMMENDED	90	29 mpg			Smooth engine and transmission, quick acceleration, good fuel economy, agile handling, short stopping distances, quiet cabin, comfortable front seats, fit and finish.	Unintuitive controls, center dashboard air vents are too low.
78	2025 Lexus NX ✓ CR RECOMMENDED	78	25 mpg			Fit and finish, short stopping distances (gas-only model), fuel economy (hybrid).	Annoying electronic door releases, visibility, unintuitive gear selector, long stops (hybrid), touchy brake pedal (hybrid).
76	2025 Porsche Macan ✓ CR RECOMMENDED	79	19 mpg			Sporty handling for an SUV, excellent seats, impeccable fit and finish.	Expensive for its size; options quickly drive up the price, modest interior space and cargo area, controls are daunting at first .
75	2025 Acura RDX ✓ CR RECOMMENDED	80	22 mpg			Handling, acceleration, stopping distances, front-seat comfort, fit and finish.	Controls, engine noise, brake pedal feel.
71	2025 Volvo XC60 Plug-in Hybrid  ✓ CR RECOMMENDED	86	28 mpg			Quick acceleration, can drive on electric power part-time, good fuel economy when operating as a hybrid, short stopping distances, comfortable seats front and rear, well-finished cabin.	Overly stiff ride, unintuitive controls.
69	2025 Infiniti QX50 ✓ CR RECOMMENDED	75	22 mpg			Quietness, fit and finish, braking.	Confusing controls, short on agility.
67	2025 Cadillac XT5 ✓ CR RECOMMENDED	75	20 mpg			Luxurious interior, roomy rear seat, supportive front seats.	Feels lethargic with the V6, difficult outward views.
65	2025 Lincoln Corsair	80	23 mpg			Ride, quietness, powertrain, fit and finish, braking.	Controls.
63	2025 Volvo XC60	75	23 mpg			Has an elegant, uncluttered interior, comfortable seats, optional child booster seats.	Overly stiff ride, controls are unintuitive and distracting, fuel economy is not stellar, engine sound not so pleasant.
63	2025 Genesis GV70	81	24 mpg			Ride, handling, transmission, seat comfort, fit and finish.	Controls, rear visibility.
63	2025 Audi Q5	82	24 mpg			Quiet interior, comfortable and supportive front seats, responsive handling.	Controls require a learning curve.
61	2025 Maserati Grecale	83	24 mpg			Quick acceleration, agile handling, short stopping distances, top-notch cabin materials.	Unintuitive gear selector and other controls.
59	2025 Mercedes-Benz GLC Plug-in Hybrid  ✓ CR RECOMMENDED	79	23 mpg			Long electric driving range, comfortable front seats, impressive interior materials, smoother ride and a quieter cabin than the regular GLC.	Hybrid fuel economy is worse than the regular version, unintuitive controls, disconcertingly soft and nonlinear brake pedal feel.
58	2025 Mercedes-Benz GLC	79	26 mpg			Quiet cabin, high-quality interior materials, comfortable front seats, short dry stopping distance.	Unintuitive controls, overly firm ride, mushy brake pedal.
58	2025 Mercedes-Benz GLB	81	26 mpg			Handling, braking, acceleration, fit and finish.	Controls, low and flat rear seat.
57	2025 Alfa Romeo Stelvio	74	24 mpg			One of the best handling SUVs, oozes Italian flair.	Too many everyday annoyances, power delivery not very smooth, brake pedal too grabby, rear and side visibility, low dash vents compromise A/C.
55	2025 Land Rover Range Rover Velar	74	21 mpg			Handling, braking, fit and finish.	Stiff ride, distracting controls, limited outward visibility, fuel economy, pricier than competitors.
52	2025 Jaguar F-Pace	69	20 mpg			Nimble handling, quick acceleration, an alternative to ubiquitous German and Japanese luxury SUVs.	Stiff ride, loud cabin, restricted rear visibility, uncompetitive infotainment system, interior lacks the ambience expected of a Jaguar, weak air conditioning.
46	2025 Land Rover Range Rover Evoque	56	20 mpg			Fit and finish, front-seat comfort, agility, braking.	Initial acceleration, ride, controls, visibility, brand reliability, overpriced.
46	2025 Land Rover Range Rover Discovery Sport	57	21 mpg			Brand cachet, taken off-road ability, super-easy access and spacious rear seat, available third-row seat, a rare option among luxury compact SUVs.	Uneven power delivery makes it hard to drive smoothly, too expensive for what you get, stiff ride, short on agility, infotainment system not the most intuitive.
CR TAKE	2025 Audi Allroad	NA	NA				
IN TEST	2025 BMW New Q5	NA	NA				
CR TAKE	2025 BMW X4	NA	NA				
CR TAKE	2025 Infiniti QX55	NA	NA				
CR TAKE	2025 Mercedes-Benz GLC Coupe	NA	NA				

HOW WE TEST: These charts provide a reference guide to the vehicles we've recently tested so that you can see how they match up. Organized by category and ranked by Overall Score.

CR Recommended vehicles are those that meet our stringent testing, reliability, and safety standards. To earn our recommendation, noted by , vehicles must have a high Overall Score in their category. The **Green Choice** designation, developed in collaboration with the Environmental Protection Agency, indicates which 2025 models are in the top 20 percent of the cleanest-emitting vehicles from their model year. These are identified by . **Overall Score**

includes the vehicle's performance in our road tests, results from the reliability and owner satisfaction sections of CR's Auto Surveys, the availability of crash-prevention systems (automatic emergency braking with pedestrian detection and at highway speeds, blind spot warning, rear cross traffic warning, and driver monitoring), and, if available, crash-test results from the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration and the Insurance Institute for Highway Safety. SUVs are tested with all- or four-wheel drive except where noted.

Price reflects the sticker price at the time we bought the vehicle tested. **Survey results** reflect findings from CR's Auto

Surveys, which are completed by CR members. **Predicted reliability** is our forecast of how well a new model will hold up based on problems our members reported in CR's Auto Surveys, which cover over 300,000 vehicles. **Owner satisfaction** is based on the percentage of surveyed CR members who said they would definitely buy or lease their vehicle again. To determine our reliability and owner satisfaction predictions, we use a model's last three years of data, provided the vehicle hasn't been redesigned or significantly updated. In cases where we have insufficient survey responses or when a model is all-new or redesigned, we use our judgment based on the brand's track

record and similar models. **Road-test score** is based on results from more than 50 objective and subjective tests and evaluations performed at our 327-acre Auto Test Center in Connecticut. **Overall mpg** comes from our fuel-economy tests. For plug-in hybrid electric vehicles, mpg is measured when the electric-only range is depleted. **CR range** is the distance in miles covered by a full tank of gas plus the EPA electric range for PHEVs. For EVs, CR range is the distance traveled in miles during our 70-mph highway test or from the EPA if not tested by CR. "E" denotes EPA-range figures. **Highs and lows** give a summary of a model's notable strengths and weaknesses.

New SUVs Ratings & Reliability

(as of October 2025)



Overall Score	Make & Model	Ratings & Test Results				Highs	Lows
		Road Test Score	CR's Overall Mileage	Predicted Reliability	Predicted Owner Satisfaction		
87	2025 BMW X5 ✓ CR RECOMMENDED	94	23 mpg	⬆️	⬆️	Ride, quietness, acceleration, transmission, braking, seat comfort.	Controls require a learning curve.
85	2025 Lexus RX Plug-in Hybrid ⬆️ ✓ CR RECOMMENDED	94	34 mpg	⬆️	⬆️	Can drive on electric power part-time, great fuel economy, comfortable ride, high-quality cabin, generous rear seat.	Noisy gas engine, some unintuitive controls, hampered rear visibility.
83	2025 Lexus RX Hybrid ✓ CR RECOMMENDED	86	34 mpg	⬆️	⬆️	Fuel economy, well-finished cabin, generous rear seat.	Compromised rear and side visibility, a few unintuitive controls, less refined powertrain than previous model.
83	2025 BMW X5 Plug-in Hybrid ⬆️ ✓ CR RECOMMENDED	98	21 mpg	⬆️	⬆️	Quick acceleration, can drive on electric power part-time, plush ride, short dry stopping distance, comfortable front seats, well-finished cabin.	Controls have a steep learning curve, fuel economy when operating as a hybrid is worse than the regular X5.
75	2025 Porsche Cayenne ✓ CR RECOMMENDED	84	21 mpg	⬆️	⬆️	Handling, steering, quietness, fit and finish, seat comfort.	Controls, ride, hesitation from a rolling stop.
75	2025 Genesis GV80 ✓ CR RECOMMENDED	77	18 mpg	⬆️	⬆️	Acceleration (with V6), transmission, handling, braking, quietness, fit and finish.	Controls, fuel economy.
67	2025 Lexus RX ✓ CR RECOMMENDED	79	24 mpg	⬆️	⬆️	Well-finished cabin, generous rear-seat room.	Compromised rear- and side visibility, a few unintuitive controls, less refined powertrain than previous model.
61	2025 Lincoln Nautilus Hybrid	81	30 mpg	⬆️	⬆️	Impressive fuel economy, quiet cabin, roomy rear seat, finely crafted interior, excellent active driving assistance system.	Handling lacks agility, confusing controls, awkward driving position.
61	2025 Lincoln Nautilus	81	30 mpg	⬆️	⬆️	Impressive fuel economy, quiet cabin, roomy rear seat, finely crafted interior, excellent active driving assistance system.	Handling lacks agility, confusing controls, awkward driving position.
60	2025 Land Rover Range Rover Sport	81	20 mpg	⬆️	⬆️	Supple ride, quiet cabin, agile handling, high-quality fit and finish, comfortable seats.	Complicated controls, below-average brand reliability.
56	2025 Mercedes-Benz GLE	76	20 mpg	⬆️	⬆️	Acceleration, quietness, fit and finish, seat comfort.	Controls, optional running boards made access difficult.
47	2025 Land Rover Defender	57	18 mpg	⬆️	⬆️	Acceleration, off-road ability, character, optional third-row.	Braking, controls, agility, step-in height, fuel economy, rear visibility.
CR TAKE	2025 Audi Q8	NA	NA	⬆️	⬆️		
CR TAKE	2025 BMW X6	NA	NA	⬆️	⬆️		
CR TAKE	2025 Mercedes-Benz G-Class	NA	NA	NA	⬆️		
CR TAKE	2025 Mercedes-Benz GLE Coupe	NA	NA	⬆️	NA		

HOW WE TEST: These charts provide a reference guide to the vehicles we've recently tested so that you can see how they match up. Organized by category and ranked by Overall Score.

CR Recommended vehicles are those that meet our stringent testing, reliability, and safety standards. To earn our recommendation, noted by , vehicles must have a high Overall Score in their category. The **Green Choice** designation, developed in collaboration with the Environmental Protection Agency, indicates which 2025 models are in the top 20 percent of the cleanest-emitting vehicles from their model year. These are identified by . **Overall Score**

includes the vehicle's performance in our road tests, results from the reliability and owner satisfaction sections of CR's Auto Surveys, the availability of crash-prevention systems (automatic emergency braking with pedestrian detection and at highway speeds, blind spot warning, rear cross traffic warning, and driver monitoring), and, if available, crash-test results from the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration and the Insurance Institute for Highway Safety. SUVs are tested with all- or four-wheel drive except where noted.

Price reflects the sticker price at the time we bought the vehicle tested. **Survey results** reflect findings from CR's Auto

Surveys, which are completed by CR members. **Predicted reliability** is our forecast of how well a new model will hold up based on problems our members reported in CR's Auto Surveys, which cover over 300,000 vehicles. **Owner satisfaction** is based on the percentage of surveyed CR members who said they would definitely buy or lease their vehicle again. To determine our reliability and owner satisfaction predictions, we use a model's last three years of data, provided the vehicle hasn't been redesigned or significantly updated. In cases where we have insufficient survey responses or when a model is all-new or redesigned, we use our judgment based on the brand's track

record and similar models. **Road-test score** is based on results from more than 50 objective and subjective tests and evaluations performed at our 327-acre Auto Test Center in Connecticut. **Overall mpg** comes from our fuel-economy tests. For plug-in hybrid electric vehicles, mpg is measured when the electric-only range is depleted. **CR range** is the distance in miles covered by a full tank of gas plus the EPA electric range for PHEVs. For EVs, CR range is the distance traveled in miles during our 70-mph highway test or from the EPA if not tested by CR. "E" denotes EPA-range figures. **Highs and lows** give a summary of a model's notable strengths and weaknesses.

New SUVs Ratings & Reliability
(as of October 2025)



Overall Score	Make & Model	Ratings & Test Results				Highs	Lows
		Road Test Score	CR's Overall Mileage	Predicted Reliability	Predicted Owner Satisfaction		
85	2025 Lexus TX Hybrid ✓ CR RECOMMENDED	92	24 mpg	⬆	⬇	Acceleration, roomy cabin, comfortable ride, high-quality interior materials.	Some unintuitive controls.
83	2025 BMW X7 ✓ CR RECOMMENDED	90	22 mpg	⬆	⬇	Powertrain, quietness, agility, fit and finish, front- and second-row seat comfort.	Learning curve for controls, tight third-row seat.
80	2025 Audi Q7 ✓ CR RECOMMENDED	87	20 mpg	⬆	⬇	Fuel economy, well-finished cabin, generous rear seat.	Compromised rear and side visibility, a few unintuitive controls, less refined powertrain than previous model.
76	2025 Lexus TX ✓ CR RECOMMENDED	79	21 mpg	⬆	⬇	Lots of interior space, nicely-finished cabin, comfortable ride.	Noisy engine, occasional rough shifts, some unintuitive controls.
74	2025 Infiniti QX60 ✓ CR RECOMMENDED	81	21 mpg	⬇	⬇	Ride, quietness, acceleration, fit and finish.	Long wet stopping distances, tiny, hard-to-find rear gate opening button.
68	2025 Acura MDX ✓ CR RECOMMENDED	77	20 mpg	⬇	⬇	Smooth powertrain, front- and rear-seat comfort, fit and finish.	Braking, must get top trim for some luxury features.
67	2025 Cadillac XT6 ✓ CR RECOMMENDED	76	18 mpg	⬇	⬇	Ride, quietness, transmission.	Fuel economy with the V6, limited seat adjustments, lacks some luxury features.
66	2025 Volvo XC90 ✓ CR RECOMMENDED	80	20 mpg	⬇	⬇	Stunningly luxurious interior, great visibility, excellent seats, very strong high-beam headlights, clever built-in booster seats are optional.	Overly stiff ride not up to luxury standards, touch-screen controls are complicated and distracting, we expected more than 20 mpg from a four-cylinder engine, engine lacks the refinement of competitors' six-cylinder mills.
65	2025 Lexus GX ✓ CR RECOMMENDED	62	18 mpg	⬆	⬆	Powerful engine, high-quality cabin materials.	Jiggly ride, cumbersome handling, mediocre fuel economy, lots of wind noise.
60	2025 Mercedes-Benz GLS	82	20 mpg	⬇	⬇	Powertrain, ride, quietness, seat comfort, fit and finish.	Controls.
60	2025 Cadillac Escalade	72	16 mpg	⬇	⬆	Ride, quietness, acceleration, luxurious cabin, seat comfort.	Agility, fuel economy, high step-in, tall hood cuts front visibility.
56	2025 Lincoln Aviator	78	19 mpg	⬇	⬇	Acceleration, handling, ride, quietness, fit and finish, cargo room.	Controls, gear selector, fuel economy.
52	2025 Land Rover Discovery	65	17 mpg	⬇	⬇	Quick acceleration with the six cylinder engine, comfortable front seats.	Second-row seats are too low, awkward third-row access.
CR TAKE	2025 Infiniti QX80	NA	NA	⬇	⬇		
CR TAKE	2025 Jeep Grand Wagoneer	NA	NA	⬇	⬇		
CR TAKE	2025 Land Rover Range Rover	NA	NA	⬇	⬇		
CR TAKE	2025 Lexus LX	NA	NA	⬆	⬆		
CR TAKE	2025 Lincoln Navigator	NA	NA	⬇	⬇		

HOW WE TEST: These charts provide a reference guide to the vehicles we've recently tested so that you can see how they match up. Organized by category and ranked by Overall Score.

CR Recommended vehicles are those that meet our stringent testing, reliability, and safety standards. To earn our recommendation, noted by , vehicles must have a high Overall Score in their category. The **Green Choice** designation, developed in collaboration with the Environmental Protection Agency, indicates which 2025 models are in the top 20 percent of the cleanest-emitting vehicles from their model year. These are identified by . **Overall Score**

includes the vehicle's performance in our road tests, results from the reliability and owner satisfaction sections of CR's Auto Surveys, the availability of crash-prevention systems (automatic emergency braking with pedestrian detection and at highway speeds, blind spot warning, rear cross traffic warning, and driver monitoring), and, if available, crash-test results from the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration and the Insurance Institute for Highway Safety. SUVs are tested with all- or four-wheel drive except where noted.

Price reflects the sticker price at the time we bought the vehicle tested. **Survey results** reflect findings from CR's Auto

Surveys, which are completed by CR members. **Predicted reliability** is our forecast of how well a new model will hold up based on problems our members reported in CR's Auto Surveys, which cover over 300,000 vehicles. **Owner satisfaction** is based on the percentage of surveyed CR members who said they would definitely buy or lease their vehicle again. To determine our reliability and owner satisfaction predictions, we use a model's last three years of data, provided the vehicle hasn't been redesigned or significantly updated. In cases where we have insufficient survey responses or when a model is all-new or redesigned, we use our judgment based on the brand's track

record and similar models. **Road-test score** is based on results from more than 50 objective and subjective tests and evaluations performed at our 327-acre Auto Test Center in Connecticut. **Overall mpg** comes from our fuel-economy tests. For plug-in hybrid electric vehicles, mpg is measured when the electric-only range is depleted. **CR range** is the distance in miles covered by a full tank of gas plus the EPA electric range for PHEVs. For EVs, CR range is the distance traveled in miles during our 70-mph highway test or from the EPA if not tested by CR. "E" denotes EPA-range figures. **Highs and lows** give a summary of a model's notable strengths and weaknesses.

New SUVs Ratings & Reliability

(as of October 2025)

 CR GREEN CHOICE



WORSE ————— BETTER

Overall Score	Make & Model	Ratings & Test Results				Highs	Lows
		Road Test Score	CR's Overall Mileage	Predicted Reliability	Predicted Owner Satisfaction		
73	2025 Tesla Model Y  	88	121 mpge			Handling, acceleration, braking, seat comfort, access to the Tesla Supercharger network.	Ride, controls, no Android Auto or Apple CarPlay.
69	2025 Ford Mustang Mach-E  	76	104 mpge			Acceleration, handling, quietness.	Fussy door handles, controls.
68	2025 Nissan Ariya  	70	87 mpge			Smooth and zippy acceleration, quiet interior, roomy cabin.	Requires extra steps to unplug, grabby brake-pedal feel, weak onboard charger.
68	2025 Hyundai Ioniq 5 	89	106 mpge			Acceleration, quietness, handling, rapid DC fast-charging ability.	Reliability, unintuitive gear selector.
64	2025 Kia EV6 	86	105 mpge			Acceleration, quietness, braking, handling, no tailpipe emissions, rapid DC fast-charging.	Poor outward visibility, awkward exterior door handles.
57	2025 Chevrolet Equinox EV 	75	96 mpge			Quick acceleration, comfortable ride, quiet cabin, excellent Super Cruise active driving assistance system is available.	A few unintuitive controls, no Android Auto or Apple CarPlay, fussy electronic door exterior handles.
56	2025 Volkswagen ID4 	78	102 mpge			Acceleration (in Pro S), ride, quietness, roomy interior.	Controls, brake-pedal feel.
56	2025 Subaru Solterra 	67	102 mpge			Quick acceleration, agile and secure handling.	Slow charging and limited driving range compared with other EVs, stingy EV-specific displays, no glove box.
56	2025 Honda Prologue 	72	95 mpge			Smooth acceleration, roomy interior, easy to get in and out of, competitive driving range and home-charging speed, qualifies for \$7,500 federal tax credit.	Short on handling agility, stiff ride, long stopping distances..
55	2025 Toyota bZ4X 	67	102 mpge			Quick acceleration, agile and secure handling.	Slow charging and limited driving range compared with other EVs, stingy EV-specific displays, no glove box.
39	2025 Chevrolet Blazer EV 	68	96 mpge			Smooth acceleration, relatively quick home charging, qualifies for \$7,500 federal tax credit.	Slow steering, stiff ride, long stopping distances, low dashboard air vents, no Android Auto or Apple CarPlay.
CR TAKE	2028 Scout Traveler	NA	NA	NA	NA		
CR TAKE	2026 Rivian R2 	NA	NA	NA	NA		
CR TAKE	2026 Subaru Trailseeker 	NA	NA	NA	NA		
CR TAKE	2026 Subaru Uncharted	NA	NA	NA	NA		
IN TEST	2026 Tesla Model Y 	NA	NA				
CR TAKE	2026 Toyota C-HR 	NA	NA	NA	NA		
CR TAKE	2026 Toyota bZ 	NA	NA	NA	NA		
CR TAKE	2026 Toyota bZ Woodland 	NA	NA	NA	NA		
IN TEST	2025 Jeep Wagoneer S 	NA	NA	NA	NA		
IN TEST	2025 Mini Countryman EV 	NA	NA				
CR TAKE	2025 Tesla New Model Y 	NA	NA	NA	NA		
CR TAKE	2024 VinFast VF 8 	NA	NA	NA	NA		
CR TAKE	2023 VinFast VF 6	NA	NA	NA	NA		
CR TAKE	2023 VinFast VF 7	NA	NA	NA	NA		

HOW WE TEST: These charts provide a reference guide to the vehicles we've recently tested so that you can see how they match up. Organized by category and ranked by Overall Score.

CR Recommended vehicles are those that meet our stringent testing, reliability, and safety standards. To earn our recommendation, noted by , vehicles must have a high Overall Score in their category. The **Green Choice** designation, developed in collaboration with the Environmental Protection Agency, indicates which 2025 models are in the top 20 percent of the cleanest-emitting vehicles from their model year. These are identified by . **Overall Score**

includes the vehicle's performance in our road tests, results from the reliability and owner satisfaction sections of CR's Auto Surveys, the availability of crash-prevention systems (automatic emergency braking with pedestrian detection and at highway speeds, blind spot warning, rear cross traffic warning, and driver monitoring), and, if available, crash-test results from the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration and the Insurance Institute for Highway Safety. SUVs are tested with all- or four-wheel drive except where noted.

Price reflects the sticker price at the time we bought the vehicle tested. **Survey results** reflect findings from CR's Auto

Surveys, which are completed by CR members. **Predicted reliability** is our forecast of how well a new model will hold up based on problems our members reported in CR's Auto Surveys, which cover over 300,000 vehicles. **Owner satisfaction** is based on the percentage of surveyed CR members who said they would definitely buy or lease their vehicle again. To determine our reliability and owner satisfaction predictions, we use a model's last three years of data, provided the vehicle hasn't been redesigned or significantly updated. In cases where we have insufficient survey responses or when a model is all-new or redesigned, we use our judgment based on the brand's track

record and similar models. **Road-test score** is based on results from more than 50 objective and subjective tests and evaluations performed at our 327-acre Auto Test Center in Connecticut. **Overall mpg** comes from our fuel-economy tests. For plug-in hybrid electric vehicles, mpg is measured when the electric-only range is depleted. **CR range** is the distance in miles covered by a full tank of gas plus the EPA electric range for PHEVs. For EVs, CR range is the distance traveled in miles during our 70-mph highway test or from the EPA if not tested by CR. "E" denotes EPA-range figures. **Highs and lows** give a summary of a model's notable strengths and weaknesses.

New SUVs Ratings & Reliability

(as of October 2025)



Overall Score	Make & Model	Ratings & Test Results				Highs	Lows
		Road Test Score	CR's Overall Mileage	Predicted Reliability	Predicted Owner Satisfaction		
73	2025 Kia EV9  	88	83 mpge			Quick acceleration, short stopping distances, roomy interior, relatively speedy charging.	Short on handling agility, controls take some familiarity.
62	2025 Mercedes-Benz EQS SUV 	83	78 mpge			Effortless acceleration, hushed cabin, comfortable front seats, roomy second-row seat, premium cabin materials.	Spongy-feeling brake pedal, confusing controls.
59	2025 Volkswagen ID Buzz 	80	80 mpge			Quick acceleration, quiet cabin, comfortable seats in all three rows, spacious interior, competent handling.	Meager driving range, feeble and inconsistent brake-pedal feel, unintuitive controls, awkward front-seat access.
53	2025 Tesla Model X 	74	102 mpge			Long range for an EV, ability to use Tesla's supercharging network, quick and effortless acceleration, one of the more agile SUVs.	All door openings are fussy, requiring patience, hampered visibility, extremely distracting controls, stiff ride, pronounced wind noise, no Apple CarPlay or Android Auto.
42	2025 Rivian R1S 	72	69 mpge			Quick acceleration, generous interior room, fit and finish, long driving range.	Stiff ride, darty handling, overly intense regenerative braking, electric motor and air compressor noises, distracting controls, no Android Auto or Apple CarPlay.
IN TEST	2026 Cadillac Vistiq 	NA	NA	NA	NA		
IN TEST	2026 Hyundai Ioniq 9 	NA	NA	NA	NA		
CR TAKE	2025 Cadillac Escalade IQ 	NA	NA	NA	NA		
CR TAKE	2025 Lucid Gravity 	NA	NA	NA	NA		
IN TEST	2025 Volvo EX90 	NA	NA				
CR TAKE	2024 VinFast VF 9 	NA	NA	NA	NA		

HOW WE TEST: These charts provide a reference guide to the vehicles we've recently tested so that you can see how they match up. Organized by category and ranked by Overall Score.

CR Recommended vehicles are those that meet our stringent testing, reliability, and safety standards. To earn our recommendation, noted by , vehicles must have a high Overall Score in their category. The **Green Choice** designation, developed in collaboration with the Environmental Protection Agency, indicates which 2025 models are in the top 20 percent of the cleanest-emitting vehicles from their model year. These are identified by . **Overall Score**

includes the vehicle's performance in our road tests, results from the reliability and owner satisfaction sections of CR's Auto Surveys, the availability of crash-prevention systems (automatic emergency braking with pedestrian detection and at highway speeds, blind spot warning, rear cross traffic warning, and driver monitoring), and, if available, crash-test results from the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration and the Insurance Institute for Highway Safety. SUVs are tested with all- or four-wheel drive except where noted. **Price** reflects the sticker price at the time we bought the vehicle tested. **Survey results** reflect findings from CR's Auto

Surveys, which are completed by CR members. **Predicted reliability** is our forecast of how well a new model will hold up based on problems our members reported in CR's Auto Surveys, which cover over 300,000 vehicles. **Owner satisfaction** is based on the percentage of surveyed CR members who said they would definitely buy or lease their vehicle again. To determine our reliability and owner satisfaction predictions, we use a model's last three years of data, provided the vehicle hasn't been redesigned or significantly updated. In cases where we have insufficient survey responses or when a model is all-new or redesigned, we use our judgment based on the brand's track

record and similar models. **Road-test score** is based on results from more than 50 objective and subjective tests and evaluations performed at our 327-acre Auto Test Center in Connecticut. **Overall mpg** comes from our fuel-economy tests. For plug-in hybrid electric vehicles, mpg is measured when the electric-only range is depleted. **CR range** is the distance in miles covered by a full tank of gas plus the EPA electric range for PHEVs. For EVs, CR range is the distance traveled in miles during our 70-mph highway test or from the EPA if not tested by CR. "E" denotes EPA-range figures. **Highs and lows** give a summary of a model's notable strengths and weaknesses.

New SUVs Ratings & Reliability

(as of October 2025)

 CR GREEN CHOICE



WORSE ————— BETTER

Overall Score	Make & Model	Ratings & Test Results				Highs	Lows
		Road Test Score	CR's Overall Mileage	Predicted Reliability	Predicted Owner Satisfaction		
74	2025 Genesis GV60  	86	95 mpge			Comfortable ride, sharp handling, quiet cabin, high-quality fit and finish.	Restricted rear visibility and side visibility.
73	2025 Audi Q6 E-Tron  	86	95 mpge			Extra-speedy DC fast charging, quick acceleration, short stopping distances, agile handling, comfortable front seats.	Stiff ride, unintuitive controls.
72	2025 Audi Q4 E-Tron  	79	100 mpge			Quiet cabin, quick acceleration, seat comfort, fit and finish.	Spongy brake pedal, unlit climate controls.
68	2025 Lexus RZ 	70	107 mpge			Comfortable ride, quiet cabin, quick acceleration.	Shorter driving range and slower charging than other EVs, unintuitive controls, long wet stopping distances, no glove box.
66	2025 BMW iX 	91	86 mpge			Luxurious ride comfort, handling agility, quick acceleration, quiet cabin, high-quality interior, lots of passenger space	Confusing controls, low dash vents.
62	2025 Genesis Electrified GV70 	79	91 mpge			Quick acceleration, quiet cabin, high-quality interior materials, comfortable front seats.	Modest driving range, distracting controls, compromised rear visibility.
61	2024 Acura ZDX 	80	87 mpge			Quick acceleration, quiet interior, roomy cabin, long driving range, qualifies for \$7,500 federal tax credit.	Short on handling agility, thick roof pillars hamper visibility.
58	2025 Mercedes-Benz EQE SUV 	76	81 mpge			Quick acceleration, short dry stopping distances, quiet cabin, comfortable front seats, high-quality interior.	Distracting controls, mushy brake petals.
58	2025 Audi Q8 E-Tron 	86	81 mpge			Ride, quietness, acceleration, seat comfort, fit and finish.	Long charging times.
55	2025 Volvo C40 	66	99 mpge			Quick acceleration, short stopping distances, comfortable front seats, high-quality cabin materials.	Stiff ride, elevated wind noise, unintuitive controls, uncomfortable rear seat, limited rear three-quarter visibility.
49	2025 Cadillac Lyriq 	84	89 mpge			Quick acceleration, quiet cabin, satisfying ride/handling balance, high-quality interior, fast home charging, excellent active driving assistance system.	Awkward door handles, thick roof pillars hamper visibility.
35	2023 Fisker Ocean 	53	89 mpge			Quick acceleration, short stopping distances.	All-or-nothing power delivery, unsettled ride, short on handling agility, abrupt regenerative-braking system, unintuitive controls, no Android Auto or Apple CarPlay Spotty service from a bankrupt company, unreliable ADAS.
CR TAKE	2027 Acura RSX	NA	NA	NA	NA		
CR TAKE	2027 BMW iX3 	NA	NA	NA	NA		
CR TAKE	2027 Mercedes-Benz GLC EQ 	NA	NA	NA	NA		
CR TAKE	2026 Polestar 4 	NA	NA	NA	NA		
CR TAKE	2026 Porsche Macan Electric 	NA	NA	NA	NA		
IN TEST	2025 Cadillac Optiq 	NA	NA	NA	NA		
CR TAKE	2025 Mercedes-Benz EQB 	NA	NA	NA	NA		
CR TAKE	2025 Polestar 3 	NA	NA	NA	NA		
CR TAKE	2025 Polestar 4 	NA	NA	NA	NA		
CR TAKE	2025 Porsche Macan Electric 	NA	NA	NA	NA		
IN TEST	2025 Volvo Ex30 	NA	NA				

HOW WE TEST: These charts provide a reference guide to the vehicles we've recently tested so that you can see how they match up. Organized by category and ranked by Overall Score.

CR Recommended vehicles are those that meet our stringent testing, reliability, and safety standards. To earn our recommendation, noted by , vehicles must have a high Overall Score in their category. The **Green Choice** designation, developed in collaboration with the Environmental Protection Agency, indicates which 2025 models are in the top 20 percent of the cleanest-emitting vehicles from their model year. These are identified by . **Overall Score**

includes the vehicle's performance in our road tests, results from the reliability and owner satisfaction sections of CR's Auto Surveys, the availability of crash-prevention systems (automatic emergency braking with pedestrian detection and at highway speeds, blind spot warning, rear cross traffic warning, and driver monitoring), and, if available, crash-test results from the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration and the Insurance Institute for Highway Safety. SUVs are tested with all- or four-wheel drive except where noted.

Price reflects the sticker price at the time we bought the vehicle tested. **Survey results** reflect findings from CR's Auto

Surveys, which are completed by CR members. **Predicted reliability** is our forecast of how well a new model will hold up based on problems our members reported in CR's Auto Surveys, which cover over 300,000 vehicles. **Owner satisfaction** is based on the percentage of surveyed CR members who said they would definitely buy or lease their vehicle again. To determine our reliability and owner satisfaction predictions, we use a model's last three years of data, provided the vehicle hasn't been redesigned or significantly updated. In cases where we have insufficient survey responses or when a model is all-new or redesigned, we use our judgment based on the brand's track

record and similar models. **Road-test score** is based on results from more than 50 objective and subjective tests and evaluations performed at our 327-acre Auto Test Center in Connecticut. **Overall mpg** comes from our fuel-economy tests. For plug-in hybrid electric vehicles, mpg is measured when the electric-only range is depleted. **CR range** is the distance in miles covered by a full tank of gas plus the EPA electric range for PHEVs. For EVs, CR range is the distance traveled in miles during our 70-mph highway test or from the EPA if not tested by CR. "E" denotes EPA-range figures. **Highs and lows** give a summary of a model's notable strengths and weaknesses.